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Facts Don’t Support Economic Argument for Proposed Federal Prison in Letcher County
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In the wake of last year’s devastating eastern Kentucky floods, the federal government reintroduced a previously-defeated proposal to build a new Bureau of Prisons (BOP) prison and “prison camp” in Letcher County.1 If implemented, the project would add a fourth federal prison to southeastern Kentucky — which already has one of the highest concentrations of federal correctional facilities nationwide — and would direct a nearly $500 million federal investment in prison beds at a time when the region desperately needs substantial investment in flood recovery efforts.

While proponents claim the federal prison project would bring countless economic benefits to Letcher County, research and data do not support this argument. Nearby Clay, Martin and McCreary counties remain among the poorest in the state, and within one of the poorest congressional districts in the nation, despite federal prisons operating in these counties for two to three decades. Eastern Kentucky has the opportunity to improve economically with federal investments that don’t perpetuate the harms of mass incarceration, which already has a vicious hold on Kentucky.

If Kentucky was a country, it would already rank seventh-highest in the world for its incarceration rate, including those in county jails, state prisons and federal prisons.2 The state also incarcerates 40% more people per capita than the U.S. average.3 And the eastern federal judicial district of Kentucky already has more federal prisons than any of the other 93 such districts in the country.4 Adding another federal prison would only further entrench mass incarceration in Kentucky at a time when many places are beginning to reverse course.5

The original discussion of a high-security federal prison in Letcher County formally started in 2006 when Congress authorized a study for the facility. The proposal was approved for a site in Roxanna in 2018, but was withdrawn in 2019 after a lawsuit on behalf of a local landowner, 21 individuals incarcerated in federal prisons, concerned local citizens and other Kentucky residents. The plaintiffs asserted that the prison was unnecessary given BOP’s own projections about a falling prison population, that there would be environmental harms caused by the construction of the proposed prison and that people incarcerated in the prison would be exposed to toxic chemicals from coal extraction that had previously occurred on the land.6

The new iteration of the federal Letcher County prison officially began on Sept. 28, 2022, just months after devastating flooding in the area, when BOP filed a notice of intent to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (EIS) for the facility.7 At a subsequent public meeting in Whitesburg, a representative for Congressman Hal Rogers stated that BOP prisons already in three eastern Kentucky counties have had a positive economic impact, “boosting the tax base and strengthening our local workforce,” and that the new BOP proposed prison was an economic opportunity for Letcher County.8 As of May 2023, the specific site has not been announced nor the EIS submitted.
Three southeastern Kentucky counties already obtained federal prisons, and it did not pay off

Southeastern Kentucky already has three federal prisons, and several more state prisons, yet continues to see out-migration of the population and remains among the poorest regions in the country.

We examined economic indicators for nearby Clay, Martin and McCreary counties from before and after a federal prison opened in each locality (1992, 2003 and 2004, respectively). Our analysis of county-level economic data shows that rather than economic improvement, longstanding problems have continued or even worsened two to three decades after the federal prisons opened in these counties. Total employment has continued to fall, poverty remains among the highest in the country, and median household incomes have remained low.

Clay, Martin and McCreary Counties have continued to see steep declines in employment and population numbers. Since the respective year each prison was opened, Clay County has seen an approximate 20% drop in number of people employed, Martin County has seen a 43% drop, and McCreary County has seen a 14% drop.9 Residents have also continued to move out. Since the prisons opened, Clay County has lost 11% of its population; Martin County has lost 13%; and McCreary County has lost 3%.10

In addition, poverty rates did not improve in these counties after the prisons opened up. Poverty in Martin and McCreary counties remains high and did not decline after federal prisons opened there. Poverty in Clay dropped in the 1990s after the prison opened but then rose again, but that temporary drop was later reversed and mirrored what happened in other counties and statewide during the 1990s.
Poverty Rates in EKY Counties
Remained High After Federal Prisons Opened

Poverty rate estimates for state and select eastern Kentucky counties
Circled points indicate the year a federal prison was opened in each county
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Note: Statwide and Letcher County data included for comparison purposes.

In the period since the prisons were built, median household income has remained relatively flat in Clay, Martin and McCreary Counties. Clay County saw a small increase in the 1990s, but so did the other counties that did not have new prisons at that time as well as the state as a whole. Median household income in these eastern Kentucky counties has remained very low as well — around $30,000 a year.
In addition, Clay, Martin and McCreary counties remain among the most economically distressed localities in the entire country:

- The poverty rate in Clay County is 35.9%, in Martin County it is 40.5% and in McCreary County it is 33.5%.
- Of all 3,143 counties in the United States, Martin County is the 5th-poorest, Clay County is the 14th-poorest and McCreary County is the 32nd-poorest.
- The median household income in Martin County is only $29,387, in Clay County $30,700 and in McCreary County $32,938.
Of all 3,143 counties in the United States, Martin County has the 8th-lowest median household income, Clay County has the 15th-lowest and McCreary County has the 30th-lowest.¹⁴

Why have federal prisons failed to spur economic development in these Kentucky counties?

There is a significant amount of literature finding no relation between prison building and positive economic effects, and some evidence that it can actually harm economic development. For example, a study of prison building in central Appalachia found that, although unemployment rates are lower in counties with a prison, per capita incomes are lower and poverty rates are higher.¹⁵ A study of rural counties found that in those with low levels of education in particular, such as those in eastern Kentucky, new prisons can do more economic harm than good.¹⁶

There are a number of reasons for a lack of economic benefits from new federal prisons. First, many of the jobs from a federal prison come from outside of the community. Federal prisons must be operated with trained staff experienced in the system that typically must be brought in from elsewhere. There are significant criteria for BOP employees, including requirements for age, drug testing, credit checks, and criminal legal system record checks as well as education and experience preferences that many local residents would not meet.

The EIS for the original Letcher County prison proposal noted that a significant number of the 300 jobs would be filled by existing Bureau of Prison employees.¹⁷ According to the former Judge Executive of McCreary County, five years after that county’s prison opened only 25 to 30 of the 300 people employed at the prison were from the local area.¹⁸

In addition to outside skilled BOP employees, some prison employees commute from other communities. Skilled labor must be hired by federal contractors to build the prison initially, and it is typical for those workers to also come from outside of the community.

Additionally, there are significant local costs accrued by communities operating prisons. Prison building requires local spending on infrastructure, including highways and roads, water and sewer systems, and electricity infrastructure. Taking privately owned land off the local tax rolls would also mean less money for public schools and local governments. Local community members can end up competing with individuals incarcerated in the federal prison for jobs in the community due to the lower costs of employing incarcerated people for public works and other jobs.

There are also harmful crowd-out effects from prison building, and little to no positive spin-offs. By reducing quality of life and harming the reputation of a community, a prison can make it less attractive to future outside investment or in-migration, and can induce out-migration. Prisons can make communities less attractive for tourism and amenity development that attracts visitors and investment. Prisons lack strong linkages to the local economy, resulting in few positive economic multiplier effects from their operation. There are also opportunity costs associated with investing in a prison, resources that can be better spent elsewhere.
Finally, although prison proponents often describe them as “recession-proof,” in fact relying on prison building is an increasingly risky bet in this political environment. The original Letcher prison proposal was cancelled in part because federal criminal justice reform resulted in a reduced prison population that no longer made it necessary.9 Increased advocacy efforts and actions to reduce the extremely high levels of U.S. mass incarceration raise serious questions about the long-term viability of any new federal prison.

Federal efforts should focus on myriad other needs

Rather than an investment of $500 million for a federal prison, eastern Kentucky communities need significant investments in flood recovery, in addition to other infrastructure needs and community services and supports — all of which would have a much better economic payoff, including:20

- Providing housing and other supports for people impacted by recent storms21
- Investing in flood resilience and prevention22
- Remediating and reforesting degraded land23
- Funding child care, drug treatment, public health and other needs.

---
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